Efficacy of Heat-based Treatments in Eliminating the Recovery of the Sudden Oak Death Pathogen (Phytophthora ramorum) from Infected California Bay Laurel Leaves
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Abstract. Phytophthora ramorum (Oomycota) (Werres et al., 2001) is the plant pathogen responsible for the lethal disease of several oak species in California known as sudden oak death. The pathogen also causes a foliar disease on Umbellularia californica (bay laurel or simply bay). Bay leaves have been identified as the major source of natural inoculum in California coastal woodlands. Because of the epidemiological relevance of bay leaves, their movement needs to be regulated. Our study shows that P. ramorum is highly heat tolerant and can be reisolated from artificially inoculated bay laurel leaves placed at 55 °C for up to 1 week. The pathogen cannot be recovered after 2 weeks at 55 °C. Prolonged heat treatments, however, are impractical for bay leaves intended to be sold commercially as a spice, since they negatively impact the quality of the leaves. Here we describe a treatment involving a progressive and gradual heating process combined with the application of moderate vacuum. This method can be completed in 22 hours and is shown here to eliminate the recovery of P. ramorum without having a negative impact on the quality of the bay leaves.

The worldwide trade of agricultural crops and ornamental plants represents an increasing threat to natural ecosystems. Traded plants or even plant parts represent an ideal medium for the long-distance transport of pathogens, potentially resulting in the introduction of exotic microbes in new environments, where their aggressiveness on native plants may be extremely high due to the lack of coevolution (Wingfield et al., 2001).

The Oomycete Phytophthora ramorum (Werres et al., 2001) is a major pathogen of native plant communities in California and southern Oregon, and causes the disease commonly referred to as sudden oak death (SOD). This pathogen was recently identified as the primary agent causing SOD (Garbelotto et al., 2001; Rizzo et al., 2002). The same organism was also recently described as a pathogen of rhododendron and viburnum in European nurseries (Werres et al., 2001). The origins of this pathogen are still unknown. The low genetic diversity identified in the U.S. population by amplified fragment-length polymorphism (AFLP) analyses (Garbelotto et al., 2003a), and the limited geographic distribution of the pathogen, which is significantly smaller than the natural range of distribution of its plant hosts, suggest it may be an introduced organism (Rizzo and Garbelotto, 2003). The host range of P. ramorum is extremely broad and includes species native not only to California, but to many world regions (Davidson et al., 2003). The high tree mortality caused by P. ramorum in coastal California, combined with the limited knowledge on this pathogen’s biology and epidemiology, have prompted regulatory agencies from the European Union, South Korea, Canada, and the U.S. to implement quarantines against the movement of plant material or soil that may be at risk of harboring the pathogen (Garbelotto et al., 2003a; USDA, 2002).

Umbellularia californica (Lauraceae) (bay laurel, bay, Oregon myrtle, pepperwood tree) is a widespread and abundant tree native to California and southern Oregon. This species is the most common host for P. ramorum in California (Rizzo and Garbelotto, 2003). The symptoms caused by P. ramorum on bay laurel are limited to a foliar blight (i.e., dead spots or dark blotches on the leaves), and there have been no reports of death of infected trees (Davidson et al., 2002; Garbelotto et al., 2002). Nevertheless, infected bay leaves play a key epidemiological role in the spread of SOD (Davidson et al., 2002). Two studies have shown a significant relationship between the presence of bay laurel and the presence of SOD on neighboring oak trees (Kelly and Meentemeyer, 2002; Swiecki and Bernhardt, 2002).

Sporulation of P. ramorum on bay leaves is extraordinarily prolific. Even after several weeks dry leaves may support sporulation if rehydrated (Rizzo and Garbelotto, 2003) Sporangia and chlamydospores are produced by P. ramorum either on the surface of bay leaves or embedded within the leaf’s mesophyll (Jennifer Parke, personal communication). P. ramorum sporangia are microscopic ovoid structures that can be airborne (rain splash, wind-driven rain). Upon landing on wet surfaces, mature sporangia may germinate and directly infect plant tissue or release a swarm of motile zoospores which will infect plant tissue (Davidson et al., 2002). The role played by the round-shaped thick-walled microscopic chlamydospores is still unclear, but they are thought to serve as resting propagules, allowing the pathogen to survive during climatically unfavorable spells similar to other Phytophthora spp. (Erwin and Ribeiro, 1996).

The leaves of bay laurel are used for culinary purposes as a spice. Dried plant material is distributed locally or exported nationally and internationally. Since leaf collecting occurs in California and southern Oregon, infected leaves could potentially be placed on the market. An excess of 3500 t of California bay laurel leaves, worth several million dollars, is placed on the market yearly (James Lewis, personal communication). Because of the difficulty in diagnosing early symptoms, visual screening of processed leaves will not prevent trading of infected, but apparently healthy, leaves. An alternative approach to the visual screening of plant materials involves the generalized application of a treatment that is capable of eliminating the recovery of P. ramorum from infected leaves.

Leaves used as spices are normally preserved through drying. The drying process is critical not only to prevent molding, but also to inhibit chemical reactions that would otherwise reduce the shelf life of the product (King et al., 2000, Madamaba and Liboon, 2001). The purpose of this paper is to test whether a treatment already in use by a commercial provider of bay leaves (here defined as Treatment 3) may be considered as a viable sanitation tool for infected bay leaves. Three additional treatments were also tested and are discussed in this article.

Materials and Methods

Heat treatments. Asymptomatic bay leaves were collected from 10 different trees on the campus of the University of California at Berkeley so as to account for differences in individual tree susceptibility. Leaves were inoculated in the lab using an agar slurry previously infected with the pathogen strains Pr 1 (coast live oak, Marin county), Pr 2 (Tanoak, Marin county), and Pr 5 (Tanoak, Marin county). Leaves were scratched with a needle before being inoculated to favor infection by
P. ramorum. Leaves were then layered with moist paper towels inside a sealed container to ensure relative humidity values above 90%. Lesions began to develop within a few days and the leaves were clearly symptomatic and ready for the heat treatment 10 d after the inoculation date.

Pathogen recovery was determined by plating symptomatic tissue from leaf lesions directly on a modified selective medium for Phytophthora spp.: pimaricin-ampicillin-rifampicin-PCNB (P10ARP) (Erwin and Ribeiro, 1996) that used one-fourth the amount of PCNB as compared to the original recipe. For the heat treatments, ten bay leaves were incubated at 55 °C for each of two treatment times: 1 week (Treatment 1) and 2 weeks (Treatment 2). Isolations were also attempted from sets of 10 untreated leaves, stored at room temperature (about 20 °C and sampled at the beginning of the experiment (pretreatment) and after 2 weeks (positive control). Treatments 1 and 2 and the controls were initiated at the same time. At the end of each trial, treatment and control leaves were incubated in a moist chamber for 48 h at room temperature to induce sporulation and thus enhance the success of recovery of the pathogen. Each experiment in its entirety was replicated once.

Vacuum+heat treatments. Two vacuum treatments, both aimed at drying bay leaves for commercial culinary purposes, were tested at a commercial facility (Bayseng spice company, Orinda, Calif.). The treatments were performed on artificially inoculated leaves three times. An additional experiment was performed once on naturally infected leaves collected in China Camp State Park (Marin County, Calif.). Experimental approach and design, sample size, and positive controls for Treatment 1 and 2 of naturally infected leaves were identical to those described for artificially inoculated leaves. Bay leaves were artificially infected as described above, using isolates Pr1 and Pr52 (rhododendron, Marin County). Ten infected leaves were placed in each of three mason jars, for a total of thirty leaves, and the mouth of each jar was then sealed with filter paper No. 1 (11 µm), (Whatmann, Kent, United Kingdom). The use of filter paper prevented the contamination of the drying equipment by P. ramorum, while allowing for a vacuum to be created inside the jar. In Treatment 3, the jars were placed on shelves in a vacuum chamber, and were treated following a standard protocol used by the facility, in which the vacuum pressure was recorded (Fig. 1), and gradually reached 0.133 kPa. Temperature in the drying chamber was gradually increased from 15 to 60 °C during the course of 5 h and then gradually lowered to 15 °C (Fig. 1). Leaves were left inside the chamber for a total time of 22 h. In Treatment 4, jars were maintained at 15 °C and vacuum (0.133 kPa) was applied for 1 h.

At the same time Treatments 3 and 4 were being tested, three jars, each containing ten infected bay laurel leaves, were left at room temperature as a control treatment. The control leaves and the leaves used in Treatments 3 and 4 were collected from the same batch of artificially inoculated leaves.

At the end of each treatment, all leaves were brought to the lab and processed immediately. For Treatment 3, Treatment 4, and the control, five leaves were randomly sampled, from each jar, for a total of 15 leaves, and 5 segments per leaf were directly plated onto selective medium (modified P10ARP). Segments were taken from the borders of the infected area, where a black zone line is formed between the healthy and diseased tissue. In the case of the naturally infected leaves one segment from each leaf was plated, using 10 leaves total from each treatment. The control leaves were plated at the same time as the leaves from Treatment 3, i.e., after 22 h. Plates were examined for presence or absence of typical P. ramorum colonies after 1, 2, 4, and 8 weeks.

In one of the three replicate trials, leaves from both Treatment 1 and 2, and the controls, were incubated at 100% relative humidity for a week, after having being sampled by direct plating as described above. Leaves were then baited using pear fruits as described by Erwin and Ribeiro 1996. Baiting gave results identical to those obtained by direct plating (Data not shown). Statistical analyses. Chi square analyses were performed separately on heat treatments and heat+vacuum treatments. The likelihood
Discussion

The preservation of plant parts to be sold as spice is often achieved by dehydration obtained by direct exposure to heat. The temperature used for this process will determine the drying rate to which plant parts are subjected (Madamaba and Liboon, 2001). Dehydration that is too rapid can damage the plant tissue and negatively affect the organoleptic properties of the spice. By operating in a vacuum, temperatures don’t need be as high to dry the plant substrate, thus better preserving the quality of the product. (Madamaba and Liboon, 2001).

Heat is also a common tool used to sanitize plant material from pests and pathogens (Katan et al., 1976). Processes involving heat can thus be reestablishment of viability when conditions are still viable (n = 20, two-tail value of Fisher’s exact test P = 0.087). On the other hand, after the 22-h treatment (Treatment 3), the heat is gradually increased and decreased over the course of the treatment, resulting in a 1- or 2-h exposure to temperatures over 55 °C, thus preserving the essential volatiles that are sought after when cooking.

The process here described and tested as Treatment 3 was developed and is being currently used by a commercial producer in California to provide high quality bay leaves. We have shown here that by this treatment, such leaves are not immediately infective even if highly infected with the SOD pathogen. However, it should be noted that lack of recovery of a pathogen may not necessarily mean that the pathogen is dead. In nature, unfavorable climatic conditions may trigger dormancy periods in spores of Phytophthora followed by a reestablishment of viability when conditions ratio (LR) test was used two compare the two heat treatments and the two heat+vacuum treatments with the respective controls. Pairwise comparisons between each treatment and the respective controls were performed using the two-tail value of Fisher’s exact test. A LR test was used to compare results from the three replicate heat+ vacuum trials. Its result (n = 135, DF = 2, LR chi square = 0.411, P = 0.814) indicated there were no differences among the three trials. Results were thus analyzed once for the entire pooled dataset. Each leaf was considered as an independent experimental unit, a likely assumption considering the temperature and humidity during the tested treatments would be absolutely unfavorable to cross-contagion.

A leaf was considered positive (1) for P. ramorum if it yielded at least one positive isolation out of five, it was considered negative (0) if all five isolations failed to produce a colony of the pathogen. All analyses were done using the JMP v.5 statistical analysis software (Release 5.0.1, 2002, SAS Institute Inc. Cary, N.C.). Analyses for the artificially infected leaves (three replicate trials) and for naturally infected leaves (one trial) were conducted separately.

Results

Heat treatments. There were significant differences when comparing the two heat treatments and the control (n = 30, DF = 2, LR chi square = 27.9, P < 0.0001). However treatments were not equally effective. The recovery of P. ramorum from inoculated bay leaves was reduced without strong statistical significance (n = 20, two-tail Fisher’s exact test P = 0.08) but not completely eliminated by exposure at 55 °C for 1 week (Treatment 1, Table 1). On the other hand, a 2-week exposure at 55 °C (Treatment 2, Table 1) completely eliminated the recovery of P. ramorum, while untreated controls were still viable (n = 20, two-tail Fisher’s exact test P < 0.0001). Identical results were obtained in the replicated experiment, but are not presented due to the misplacement of the leaves used for positive control. It should be noted, however that infectivity of bay leaves is known to persist for several weeks (Rizzo and Garbelotto, 2003).

Vacuum+heat treatments. Significant differences in recovery of P. ramorum were found among the two heat+vacuum treatments and the controls (n = 135, DF = 2, LR chi square = 81.08, P < 0.0001), however the efficacy of the two treatments was not comparable. P. ramorum could still be isolated from bay leaves after the 1-h treatment (Treatment 4, Table 2). Furthermore, the treatment did not significantly reduce the recovery of the pathogen (n = 90, two-tail Fisher’s exact test P = 0.087). On the other hand, after the 22-h treatment (Treatment 3, Table 2), the pathogen recovery was reduced to zero, a significant change from the recovery retained by untreated controls (n = 90, two-tail Fisher’s exact test P < 0.0001).

Table 1. Effect of heat treatments (55 °C) on the recovery of Phytophthora ramorum from artificially inoculated bay leaves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>No. of leaves</th>
<th>Recovery rate (%) after treatment</th>
<th>P from Fisher’s exact test (two-tail) compared to control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (1 week)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (2 weeks)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (no treatment)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Effect of vacuum+heat treatments on the recovery of Phytophthora ramorum from artificially inoculated bay leaves. Data shown is pooled from three replicate trials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>No. of leaves</th>
<th>Recovery rate (%) after treatment</th>
<th>P from Fisher’s exact test (two-tail) compared to control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 (22 h)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (1 h)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (no treatment)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
are once again favorable (Erwin and Ribeiro, 1996). Although medium- and long-term studies are needed to address this issue for *Phytophthora ramorum* subject to heat and vacuum+heat treatments, we have found no report of any *Phytophthora* species surviving prolonged heat treatments. Considering the relatively low risk posed by cooking spices as a means of pathogen introduction, we believe that the elimination of visibly infected leaves, and the treatment of leaves as described for Treatment 3, eliminate any risk of contagion due to bay leaves commercialized as cooking spice.
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